

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/059,939	01/29/2002		Mark LeVake	21958-022	7162
35437	7590	04/12/2006		EXAMINER	
		HN FERRIS GLO	LANEAU, RONALD		
	RD AVENUE DRK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2.311 1014	-, - · · ·	· * - ·		3627	

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/059,939	LEVAKE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ronald Laneau	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Fe</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,9,10,12-19,21,22,24-27,40-43,48-4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,9,10,12-19,21,22,24-27,40-43,48-7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. <u>51 and 53-59</u> is/are rejected.	ne application.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the consequence Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. Claims 1-5, 8, 10, 20, 22, 37-38, 40 and 41 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 5, 8, 10, 20, 37, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howell et al (US 6,462,644 B1) in view of Morris et al (US 6,339,731 B1).

As per claims 1, 8 and 10, Howell discloses a vending machine having a vending machine controller with a DEX interface (see figs. 4A, 4B) and a multi-drop-bus interface (col. 4, lines 34-38), an Enabler comprising: a wireless data network transceiver connected to said DEX interface (see fig. 2, 206); a card reader for entering credit card account information (cashless reader, page 2, [0018], fig. 1, 30); and a micro-controller 24 in communication with said transceiver (see fig. 3, 102) and connected to said multi-drop-bus interface (see fig. 3, 306). Howell does not disclose a card reader but Morris discloses a card reader for entering credit card information (fig. 24, 78), Morris discloses a cashless reader that automatically includes a magnetic swipe reader.

Art Unit: 3627

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the card reader as taught by Morris into the system of Howell because it would allow a customer with no cash to purchase item for the vending machine using a credit card.

As per claim 5, the wireless system taught by Howell is capable of having a transceiver that is operated on a wireless network that consists of a Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) as claimed.

As per claims 20, 37, 40 and 41, Howell discloses a method for managing information from a DEX enabled vending machine or a computer readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing a method comprising: sending a command from a remote computer over a wireless network to a remote DEX enabled vending machine having a DEX port (see figs. 2, 4A, 4B), said command comprising one of: a first procedure for resetting data on said vending machine, wherein DEX data fields are cleared and said DEX port is disabled (see figs. 6A-6D; machine setup). Howell does not disclose an auditing data on said vending machine but Morris discloses an auditing data on said machine, a second procedure for auditing data on said vending machine, wherein data is sent back to the remote computer (col. 1, lines 55-64), and a third procedure for configuring data on said vending machine, carrying out said procedure on said vending machine (see abs., col. 1, lines 55-64).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the auditing and configuring data as taught by Morris into the system of Howell because it would provide a technique which simplifies the process of configuring and

Art Unit: 3627

reconfiguring a vending machine data monitoring and reporting unit so that different types of data can be collected and reported as desired.

4. Claims 2, 4, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howell et al (US 6,462,644 B1) in view of Morris et al (US 6,339,731 B1) and further in view of Kolls (US 6,321,985 B1).

As per claims 2 and 9, see above rejection. Neither Howell nor Morris discloses the concept of having a display in a vending machine but Kolls discloses a display 14 for customer to view their item using a vending machine (see fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the card reader as taught by Morris into the system of Howell because it would allow a customer with no cash to purchase item for the vending machine using a credit card. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the display as taught by Kolls into the combined systems of Howell and Morris because it would make it easier for customers to view the items being purchase for accuracy purposes.

As per claim 4, neither Howell nor Morris discloses the concept of having a speaker in a vending machine but Kolls discloses at least a speaker 22 seen in figure 1.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the card reader as taught by Morris into the system of Howell because it would allow a customer with no cash to purchase item for the vending machine using a credit card. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 3627

made to utilize the speaker as taught by Kolls into the combined systems of Howell and Morris because it would allow a buyer to not only view the item being purchased but also to listen to the name of the item from the speaker. That would increase accuracy of the selection.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 8, 10, 20, 22, 37-38, 40 and 41 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is (571) 272-6784. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:30am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3627

Ronald Janean

Ronald Laneau

4/4/06

Page 6

Examiner

Art Unit 3627

rl